Environmental Citizens Advisory Committee Minutes of October 17, 2017 — Page 1 of 2

Place/Time:	Allen County Health Department, October 17, 2017, 3:00 pm.	1
Members Prese	ht: Bill Kelly, Kathy Luhn, Steve Kayatin, Mike Caprella, Michael Wildermuth, Mike Edmiston, Thom Mazur.	2 3 4
Others Present:	Ashland/ISP: Duy Pham	5 6 7
	Fort Amanda Specialties: Joseph Hutton, Brady Brown	7
	Husky: Gary Vonderembse, Paul Logsdon, LD Pierce	8
	INEOS: Eugene Paik	9
	Health Dept: Maria Dionicio, Brandon Fischer	10
	OEPA: Alyse Johnson, Mark Budge	11
		12
Approval of Minutes:		13
		14
• The min	ates for the July 18, 2017 meeting were approved as distributed.	15
Ammuel Ain Men	Maning Demond (A1 I 1	16
Annual Air Mon	itoring Report (Alyse Johnson)	17
A printer	l report was distributed.	18
_	Pollutant: Particulates (PM _{2.5})	19
	different 3-year averages are involved in the standard	20 21
	-yr rolling average of the last three annual average concentrations $\leq 12 \mu\text{g/m}^3$	22
	-yr rolling average of the last three annual 98%-high 24-hr concentrations $\leq 35 \mu\text{g/m}^3$	23
 2014	-15-16 results were 8.9 and 22.0 which are reasonably below 12 and 35	24
	results will probably be near 7.8 and 20, and the 2014 levels of 9.5 and 27.7 will be replaced	25
(in the	ne 3-yr averages) once the 2017 results are certified. Therefore the 2015 through 2017	26
3-yr-	averages will probably drop to about 8.3 and 19.5 (from 8.9 and 22.0).	27
— So fa	r, Allen County has been able to stay in compliance by running at about 70% of the $12 \mu g/m^3$	28
	and about 63% of the 35 μ g/m ³ limit.	29
	Pollutant: Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂)	30
— stand	ard is a 3-yr rolling average of 99% 1-hr daily maximum ≤ 0.075 ppm or 200 μ g/m ³ · 15-16 result was 0.009 ppm which is only 12% of the 0.075 ppm standard.	31
— We h	ave not had any difficulty meeting the SO_2 standard in recent history.	32
Criteria	Pollutant: Ozone (O_3)	33 34
	ard is 3-yr rolling average of 4 th -highest 8-hr concentration ≤ 0.070 ppm	35
— 2014-	15-16 result was 0.066 ppm	36
— 2017	will likely be 0.068 ppm which will replace the 2014 result of 0.0660. This will make the	37
2015-	16-17 result come out to 0.0667 ppm (a slight increase). But the USEPA truncates (rather than	38
round	s) to the third decimal place, so our 2015-17 result will likely remain at 0.066 ppm.	39
- Ozon	e is the criteria pollutant for which Allen County comes closest to the limit. With the current	40
stand	ard of 0.070 ppm we would have been out of compliance for 9 of the past 12 years. But the	41
standa	ard had been 0.080 ppm and was reduced to 0.075 in 2008, and reduced again to 0.070 at the	42
end of	f 2015. Since our ozone levels have slowly fallen at about the same pace as the tightening of	43
une sta	andard, we were slightly out of compliance in 2005 (with 0.081ppm), but have not been out of	44
comp	iance since then. Still, we are basically "right up against the limit."	45

Environmental Citizens Advisory Committee Minutes of October 17, 2017 — Page 2 of 2

 Air Toxics Three "grab samples" were analyzed since the previous reporting in October 2016. These samples were acquired on 11/17/2016, 02/06/2017, 04/25/2017. None of the 65 air toxics included in the testing were at any level approaching the MAGLC for that 	46 47 48 49
particular compound. Typically, many of the 65 chemicals are not detected at all, and the ones that are detected have had levels considerably below 1% of the allowed level. This has always been the	50 51
case with the exception of carbon disulfide as described in the next paragraph.	52
— In some recent years carbon disulfide (CS ₂) showed up at levels above 1% of the allowed level. A	53
sample in 2015 showed CS ₂ at 41% of the standard, and a sample in 2006 had CS ₂ as high as 55%	54
of the standard. But none of the three samples in the current testing had CS ₂ levels higher than 0.4%	55 56
of the standard. The source of the occasional "spikes" is unknown.	50 57
• TRI (Toxic Release Inventory) for 2015	58
— The 2015 TRI report was included in the document that Alyse distributed, but there was very little discussion. This was because Alyse drew our attention to page 7 of the document which explains	59
several reasons why the TRI data are not very useful for assessing the health impacts or	60
environmental impacts of the industries in our area which are required to submit TRI information.	61
	62
Industry Status Reports:	63
Table 1	64
• Printed reports were received from Husky, INEOS, and Fort Amanda Specialties. A report from ISP was	65 66
emailed to members by Duy Pham. The committee took time during the meeting to read the reports, but	67
there were no questions and no further discussion.	68
Other Business:	69
Other business.	70
 The January 2018 meeting is scheduled for January 16 at 3:00 PM at the Health Department. 	71
A possible topic is the "water re-use" project.	72
	73
	74 75
Michael Edmiston .	75 76
Recording Secretary	70